"We would begin to overlook

those important parts of

our past and even of

ourselves. Where we've

## Imagine no museums ...

come from, what has happened throughout history, it has all come together to make us the people we are today. To lose museums would be to lose a huge connection to our past. We would lose our history, our perspective of ourselves, a sense of empathy with one another, a sense of wonder in the world, a curiosity for more." History. one topic that kept coming up.

is necessary for helping humans understand the current moment, plan for the future, and to feel grounded.

important *history* is for all of us. That history



Respondents were 50% more likely to talk about history in 2023 than in 2018, when we last asked this question. A lot has happened in how we think and talk about history in those five years. Many

Repeatedly, respondents talked about how

Confederate statues have toppled (while others remain), and at least 18 state legislatures have restricted how history is taught in the classroom.¹ History is on

- "Resistant" people are about a 1/3 third more likely to reference MORE history than those with a LIKELY more "Open" mindset.2
- Which can make it easy to forget that a majority of people want museums to

history more thoughtfully.

four main categories: Clichés. In particular, they were more likely to rely on language about repeating mistakes of the past. "They show the history of how the world was created. Without knowledge of history, past mistakes



suggest that a more inclusive history

isn't actually factual, but biased and/or

activist, and therefore inappropriate.

how things were, so we can all

better appreciate how far we

potent, making it much evidence-backed harder for museums to content with the public. Check out our talk about our shared publication **Audiences** and Inclusion: A history in more Primer for Cultivating complete ways. More Inclusive Attitudes Among the **Public** and our Data Story <u>"Beware! The</u> False Consensus Effect" for tips.

be more inclusive. These responses tended to also talk about the future, but through the lens of hope rather than simply not repeating past mistakes. "Every time I enter a museum, I re-engage in a centuries-old debate

anchoring our society, and knowing who we are in this moment in time. "Museums, like the night sky, offer a time and place to reflect on who and what we are, where we came from, and where we are going--and if we want to go there. Without this, we may become more self-focused, forget the wisdom of our ancestors, and forget to consider the very real consequences of our decisions. That sounds dangerous to me..."

That history is vital to

viewpoints, as we estimate that most U.S.

adults and frequent museum-goers want museums to tell a more complete and honest history. most people support the work of museums to explore our shared history and humanity, connecting us to one another, and enriching our visitors and our communities. We'll explore more of these ideas, including shared values that can support this work, in upcoming Data Stories.

Annual Survey of Museum-Goers Data Stories are created by Wilkening Consulting on behalf of the American Alliance of Museums. Sources include:

• 2023 Annual Survey of Museum-Goers, n = 107,187; 228 museums participating

• 2023 Broader Population Sampling, n = 2,002

© 2023 Wilkening Consulting, LLC

• 2017 - 2022 Annual Surveys of Museum-Goers <sup>1</sup>Education Week, edweek.org More Data Stories can be found at wilkeningconsulting.com/data-stories.

American

When we asked museum-goers to "imagine no museums," there was

Age, life stage, gender, race and ethnicity, all of these demographic traits were largely irrelevant. But there were two things that did stand out to us as we reviewed who talked about history:

2023

inclusive history is shared in museums.

as a way to see our progress. "It would be like erasing history. We need physical places to go and take our children to show them

Overall, we think that more **Resistant** people are elevating and weaponizing history out of anger and fear. This defensiveness, however,

can be very loud and

to empathize with others, and to

prejudice. People couldn't sit down and appreciate art - to appreciate humanity in its barest form, no matter how it's expressed. To connect with something someone did decades/centuries/even further ago, to understand that we're all the human race. We're HUMAN and we're in this together. Without museums, we don't learn that." There was also a shared value that crossed the spectrum from **Resistant to Open mindsets:** 

"Museums literally are representations of society--touchstones to where we are in space and time. Communities without museums would be like dry husks! I would be different without museums. I

learn so much about the topic at

hand and, through this, my place

in this society."

As we enter the 2024 election year, as

these divided opinions on history will

well as the America 250 commemoration,

But we don't want to over-amplify more Resistant



<sup>2</sup>What do we mean by "Resistant" and "Open?" In short, "Resistant" people tend to resist content that is inclusive, supports climate action, promotes civil discourse and civics, and/or that encourages a connection to humanity. "Open" people tend to support most/all of those things in museums. \*Data Stories share research about both frequent museum-goers (typically visit multiple museums each year) and the broader population (including casual and non-visitors to museums). See the Purpose and Methodology (Update) Data Story from September 12, 2023 for more information on methodology.

**Wilkening**Consulting

Data Story release date:

December 14, 2023

people's minds more now. These two things are, of course, interrelated. After all, Resistant people are more likely to scrutinize how history is taught in school and support legislative censorship of classroom instruction. They are also more likely to push back when a more share a more complete understanding of the past, and are thinking about Let's take a look at what was shared. For the most part, **Resistant** history responses fell into

> repeat themselves, and no one would be inspired by proud moments in history." Learning from "bad" history, especially

place because they allow people to learn about history. The history should not be slanted or apologetic or presented to minimize the accomplishments of people who have done great things. Museums should preserve history, and not become activist entities." Offensive. There were also a number of comments that were inflammatory, bigoted, or worse. We are choosing not to amplify these thoughts by sharing them.

We are always working

to develop more tools

for effectively sharing

"Museums make the world a better

Responses from people who have a more "Open" mindset framed history rather differently. There were still certainly some clichéd answers (though fewer of them). Instead, more Open respondents tended to explore ideas of how history helps us understand what it means to be a part of humanity,

> about what it means to be human; how cruelty and selfishness are constant throughout history; but also, why beauty is so important to my life and how the human striving for greater freedom is universal. I leave the museum re-engaged in my efforts to shape a better future for all of us." "Without museums, people couldn't learn about the world and other places/viewpoints/people without

likely become more entrenched. History museums will face many challenges navigating this landscape.